



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/644,337	08/23/2000	Keith Robert Broerman	RCA 89,982	2978
7590 12/18/2003		EXAMINER		
Joseph S Tripoli			ENG, DAVID Y	
Patent Operations Thomson Multimedia Licensing Inc			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
P O Box 5312			2155	/,
Princeton, NJ	08543-5312		DATE MAILED: 12/18/2003	, 9

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<i>p</i> e				
KEITH ROBERT				
address				
imely. is communication.				
o the merits is				
·				
a). miner.				
·				
nal Stage				

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/644,337	,	BROERMAN, KEITH ROBERT				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	DAVID Y. ENG	2155					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a within the statutory minimum of thi vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MO cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered time NTHS from the mailing date of this of BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
,	— · is action is non-final.						
, <u> </u>		otters prosecution as to th	na marite ie				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> is/are pending in the application							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Application Papers	•						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	·.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>23 August 2000</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the etterhed detailed Office action for a list of the partition assistant.							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
14)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.							
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	c priority under 35 U.S.C	. §§ 120 and/or 121.					
Attachment(s)							
1)	5) Notice of	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No Informal Patent Application (PT					

Application/Control Number: 09/644,337

Art Unit: 2155

A new title more aptly descriptive of the nature and gist of the technical disclosure is requested. See field of the invention in the specification.

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. The specification fails to disclose how the method steps as recited in the claim combination are able to facilitate communication between different networks in a bi-directional communication system. Although some of the claim languages are found in Figure 3. However, following the flow chart in Figure 3 does not result in communicating data between different networks. There is no explanation in the specification as to how communication between different networks can be accomplished by following the flow chart in Figure 3. The specification does not support the invention claimed.

Claims 1-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Scope of claims 1-5 is not clear. The independent claim calls for a method for communicating data between different networks using hierarchical layers of

Application/Control Number: 09/644,337

Art Unit: 2155

communication protocols. It is not seen how hierarchical protocol layers as recited facilitate communicating packetized data between different networks. The method or system as recited does not provide any communication between networks using hierarchical layers of communication protocols. Claims 21-23 have similar defects. Following the steps recited in claims 21-23 does not result in communicating data between different networks.

With respect to the last paragraph of independent claim 6, there is no step recited for determining a MAC address. The comparing step does not provide any MAC address. Scope of claims 6-17 is not clear. The preamble calls for a method for processing IP data. There are no method steps directed to process IP data.

Scope of claims 18-20 is not clear. The preamble calls for a method for initiating an Application. There are no method steps directed to initiating an Application. Further with respect to independent claim 18, it is not seen how it is possible to convey the payload data to a second destination while the comparing step indicates that the payload data already reaches the destination.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Slemmer (USP 6,377,990).

Application/Control Number: 09/644,337

Art Unit: 2155

See at least Figure 1 in Slemmer. Slemmer teaches in a bi-directional communication system, a method for communicating packetized (line 56 of column 3) data between different networks (Internet and network 20 in Figure 1) using hierarchical layers of communication protocols (lines 44-46 of column 3), comprising the steps of:

comparing (line 24-35 and 43-50 of column 4)a received IP packet destination address in a first protocol layer with a predetermined IP address to determine if there is an address match; and

redirecting a payload (in bound message) of said received IP packet from an Internet network to a local network in response to said address match by substituting (line 25-28 of column 3 and line 33-43 of column 5) a second protocol layer address for a received second protocol layer address.

Although Slemmer did not explicitly state that the payload is for supporting applications, one of ordinary skill in the art should readily recognize that the workstations of Slemmer are capable of executing applications and the payload could have been used for supporting applications.

With respect to claims 3, 4, 7, 8, 14, 16, 17 and 22, see "modem" in line 22 and 33 of column 1.

PRIMARY EXAMINER